MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612) 1 United States Attorney 2 J. DOUGLAS WILSON (DCBN 412811) 3 Chief, Criminal Division 4 JANAKI GANDHI (CABN 272246) Special Assistant United States Attorney 5 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102 6 Telephone: (415) 436-7050 Facsimile: (415) 436-7234 7 E-Mail: janaki.gandhi@usdoj.gov 8 Attorneys for the United States of America 9 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 12 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. CR 13-0423 RS 14 Plaintiff, 15 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] v. ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 16 JOSE ALFREDO GARCIA-SUAREZ, U.S.C. § 3161 17 Defendant. 18 19 On August 13, 2013, the parties in this case appeared before the Court for a status 20 conference. Defense counsel informed the Court of her intention to file a motion to dismiss the 21 Indictment. The parties set forth a briefing schedule, in which Defense counsel is to file a 22 motion to dismiss by or on September 27, 2013, the government is to file its response within two 23 24 weeks thereafter, by or on October 11, 2013, and Defense counsel is to file any further reply by or on October 25, 2013. As a result, the Court set the matter to November 5, 2013, for a hearing 25 26 on the motion to dismiss. The parties agreed to exclude the period of time prior to the filing of motions, between 27 August 13, 2013, and September 27, 2013, from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME

CR 13-0423 RS

1	§ 3161. The parties represented that granting an exclusion would allow the reasonable time	
2	necessary for effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel. See 18 U.S.C.	
3	§ 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). Granting an exclusion of time will also be appropriate once the parties have	
4	filed their motions and such motions are pending before the Court. See 18 U.S.C.	
5	§ 3161(h)(1)(D). The parties also agreed that the ends of justice served by granting such an	
6	exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18	
7	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this agreement.	
8	SO STIPULATED:	
9 10		MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
11		
12	DATED: August 13, 2013	/s/
13		JANAKI GANDHI Special Assistant United States Attorney
14		
15	DATED: August 13, 2013	JODI LINKER
16		Attorney for Defendant Jose Alfredo Garcia-Suarez
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLU	DING TIME

CR 13-0423 RS

[PROPOSED] ORDER

For the reasons stated above and at the August 13, 2013, hearing, the Court finds that the exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from August 13, 2013, through September 27, 2013, is warranted, and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). In addition, an exclusion of time during the pendency of the motions filed by the parties is appropriate for the time period between September 27, 2013, and November 5, 2013. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(1)(D).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: 8/14/13

THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG United States District Judge

STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 13-0423 RS